Gyrokinetic Toroidal Code Computational Overview

18 July 2011 – CScADS

Daniel Fulton, Joseph McClenaghan University of California, Irvine

Gyrokinetic Toroidal Code (GTC): Basics

- Goals:
 - Simulate turbulence, transport, instabilities, in fusion plasmas.
 - Support burning plasma experiments (e.g. ITER)



- Group: group leader Zhihong Lin + 2 researchers, 1 post doc, 5 graduate students
- Originally developed at PPPL, now maintained at UC Irvine.

GTC: Some Details

- "Gyrokinetic"
 - Charged particles travel along magnetic field lines in helix shape.
 - Assume "gyro" motion is much faster and can be averaged out.
 - Still accounts for finite radius.





"Toroidal"

- Donut shaped spatial domain (most fusion experiments)
- Has been modified for cylindrical geometry, as well.

- PIC code
- MHD + kinetic corrections...

GTC: Programming Model (i)

- Hybrid OpenMP + MPI code.
 - MPI breaks up spatial domains and groups of particles within these domains.
 - OMP for parallelizing loops/individual particles w/i MPI task.



GTC: Programming Model (ii)

- Fortran90/95 free format
- Runtime libraries:
 - PETSC for poisson solver (one implementation)
 - NetCDF for output, but not required (see next).
- Typically compile with pgf90.
- Runs on jaguarpf, hopper, tianhe-1A...
- In the next year add GPU.
 - Fortran CUDA/OpenCL?

GTC: I/O

- - Small plain text file with input parameters.
 - Requires magnetic equilbrium
 - Analytical specified by the code
 - Numerical Experimental or generated by another eq solver. Plain text typically ~ 50MB.
- 0
 - 3D requires NetCDF. File size???
 - Produces separate plain text files for various 1D/2D data. Order 10-100+MB total. Depends on grid.
- Snapshot/restarts at user specified interval.
- Currently, no major plans to change I/O.

GTC: Analysis

- IDL GUI for common plots.
- Individuals use other tools for specifics (IDL, Matlab, gnuplot).



GTC: Performance

- Current performance analysis:
 - By hand scaling studies.
 - Just tried CrayPAT to make this presentation!
- Downside: hard to identify performance issues that are not related to scaling.
- Plan: Fluid algorithm is implemented in simple way. Would like to implement something more robust and more efficient. What's the best way to pinpoint problem areas?

GTC: Scalability (i)

• MPI scaling limited by grid size/# of particles.

 ${}^{\bullet}$



Difficulty: GTC runs require minimum of 32 MPI tasks, so OMP imbalance can be 'lost' in MPI imbalance.

GTC: Scalability (ii)

- Overall, electrostatic scaling is good.
- Difficulty: For electromagnetic, PETSC not yet compatible with OMP.

- Current scalability achieved by going from MPI → hybrid MPI + OMP.
- Plan to improve scaling with addition of GPU.

GTC: Debugging Tools

- Debugging methods:
 - Version ctrl: compare to old (working) code
 - Cleverness + write statements ③
 - Brute force + write statements 🛞
- Have tinkered with DDT, but has some bugs with PGI fortran.
- No plans to change current methods, but would be nice.

GTC: Roadmap

- Explore kinetic/nonlinear instabilities, where linear analytical techniques do not apply. New physics, not old physics.
- Refine GTC fluid algorithm.
 - Numerical stability/accuracy
 - Performance
- Add GPU code for higher order kinetics/ electron subcycles, and to be able to use new machines to full potential!