Ménage à Trois: Hybrid Profiling, Performance Visualization, and Kernel Measurement

<u>Allen D. Malony</u>, Chee Wai Lee, Wyatt Spear, Will Voorhees Sameer Shende, Scott Biersdorff, Suzanne Millstein

> Dept. Computer and Information Science Performance Research Laboratory University of Oregon





Hybrid Profiling – Motivation

□ Different approaches for observing parallel performance

□ Sampling-based measurement

- Event-based / instruction-based sampling (EBS / IBS)
- Examples: PerfSuite, HPCToolkit, ...

□ Probe-based measurement (PBM)

• Instrumentation of program code

o Example: TAU, Scalasca, ...

□ Combine the two to exploits advantages of probe-based instrumentation with advantages of sampling

□ TAUebs

- TAU for probe-based instrumentation and measurement
- Event-based sampling measurement (with callstack unwinding)

DOE CScADS

Integrated Probe + EBS Measurement Design (1)



Hmm, seems like we have seen this before ...

□ Previously, TAUebs:

- Captured a trace of EBS samples
- Post-processed the trace to recover symbol information
- Merged sample traces with generated profiles offline
- Paper in ICPP 2010 and discussed briefly at CScADS

Integrated Probe + EBS Measurement Design (2)



Ménage à Trois: Hybrid Profiling, Kernel Measurement, and Performance Visualization

So what's new?

□ Previously, TAUebs:

- Captured a trace of EBS samples
- Post-processed the trace to recover symbol information
- Merged sample traces with generated profiles offline
- Paper in ICPP 2010 and discussed briefly at CScADS
- □ Now, TAUebs:
 - O Captures EBS sample histograms at runtime (profiling)
 - Sample histograms are associated with TAU event context
 - TAU profile output now incorporates sampled histograms
 - It is still possible to generate EBS traces

TAUebs Hybrid Profiling

□ Instance of new sample contextualized by TAUkey and integrated into TAU profile structures at runtime



Hybrid Profiling Implementation

Uses existing timer-interrupt framework to trigger samples
 With each sample:

- Query active TAU event context to determine TAUkey
- Create/update PC address histogram for the active TAU event context represented by its key
- □ Addresses are resolved to meaningful symbol information via BFD at the end of the run
- □ TAU event context can be controlled by the *event path depth*

□ Caveats

- Current implementation does not unwind the callstack
 - Flat sample profile for each TAU event context
- Works only with single-threaded processes presently

Examples: Simple Benchmark – Flat Profile

□ Pure sampling – only main() is instrumented

TAU: ParaProf: Thread Statistics: n,c,t, 0,0,0 - /mnt/netapp/home2/cheelee/work/repository/git/local/2011ProjectTauEBS/benchmark 📃 🗧				
File Options Windows Help				
Name 🛆	Exclusive TIME	Inclusive TIME	Calls	Child Calls
♀ <mark>■</mark> int main(int, char **) [(testStack.cpp) {68,1}-(100,1)]	40.48	40.48	1	1 🗖
P [INTERMEDIATE] int main(int, char **) [(testStack.cpp) (68,1]-(100,1)]	0	37.788	37,788	0
SAMPLEJ UNRESOLVED ADDR 0x2b2525328ac8	0.043	0.043	43	0
SAMPLEJ UNRESOLVED ADDR 0x41b838	0.037	0.037	37	0
– 📕 [SAMPLE] _Z1ai [{/mnt/netapp/home2/cheelee/work/repository/git/local/2011ProjectTauEBS/benchmark/testStack.inst.cpp} {36,09-{36,0}]	0.412	0.412	412	0
– SAMPLE]_Z1ai [{/mnt/netapp/home2/cheelee/work/repository/git/local/2011ProjectTauEBS/benchmark/testStack.inst.cpp} (37,0)-(37,0)]	1.493	1.493	1,493	0
SAMPLE]_Z1ai [{/mnt/netapp/home2/cheelee/work/repository/git/local/2011ProjectTauEBS/benchmark/testStack.inst.cpp} {42,0]-{42,0}	•	0.429	429	0
SAMPLE]_Z1ai [{/mnt/netapp/home2/cheelee/work/repository/git/local/2011ProjectTauEBS/benchmark/testStack.inst.cpp) {43,0]-{43,0}]	cation	1.479	1,479	0
SAMPLE]_Z1bi [{/mnt/netapp/home2/cheelee/work/repository/git/local/2011ProjectTauEBS/benchmark/testStack.inst.cpp} {52,0}]	Cation	0.001	1	0
SAMPLE]_Z1bi [{/mnt/netapp/home2/cheelee/work/repository/git/local/2011ProjectTauEBS/benchmark/testStack.inst.cpp} {53,0}]		0.004	4	0
SAMPLE]_Z1bi [{/mnt/netapp/home2/cheelee/work/repository/git/local/2011ProjectTauEBS/benchmark/testStack.inst.cpp} {59,0}]	0.004	0.004	4	0
[SAMPLE]_218s_int64_mem_accessv [{/mnt/netapp/home2/cheelee/work/repository/git/local/2011ProjectTauEBS/benchmark/EbsStaticLib.cpp} {31,0}]	0.057	0.057	57	0
[SAMPLE]_218s_int64_mem_accessv [{/mnt/netapp/home2/cheelee/work/repository/git/local/2011ProjectTauEBS/benchmark/EbsStaticLib.cpp} {32,0}]	0.227	0.227	227	0
SAMPLE]_218s_int64_mem_accessv [{/mnt/netapp/home2/cheelee/work/repository/git/local/2011ProjectTauEBS/benchmark/EbsStaticLib.cpp} {33,0}]	- a 0.035	0.035	35	0
[SAMPLE] _219s_double_mem_accessv {{/mnt/netapp/home2/cheelee/work/repository/git/local/2011ProjectTauEBS/benchmark/EbsStaticLib.cpp} {17,0}-{17,0}]	• • • 0.018	0.018	18	0
[SAMPLE] _219s_double_mem_accessv [{/mnt/netapp/home2/cheelee/work/repository/git/local/2011ProjectTauEBS/benchmark/EbsStaticLib.cpp} {18,0}-{18,0}]	0.163	0.163	163	0
SAMPLE]_219s_double_mem_accessv {{//mnt/netapp/home2/cheelee/work/repository/git/local/2011ProjectTauEBS/benchmark/EbsStaticLib.cpp} {19,0}-{19,0}	0.052	0.052	52	0
[SAMPLE] _219so_int64_mem_accessv {{/mnt/netapp/home2/cheelee/work/repository/git/local/2011ProjectTauEBS/benchmark/EbsSharedLib.cpp} {42,0]-{42,0}]	0.025	0.025	25	0 =
[SAMPLE] _219so_int64_mem_accessv {{/mnt/netapp/home2/cheelee/work/repository/git/local/2011ProjectTauEBS/benchmark/EbsSharedLib.cpp} {43,0]-{43,0}}	0.095	0.095	95	0
[SAMPLE] _219so_int64_mem_accessv {{/mnt/netapp/home2/cheelee/work/repository/git/local/2011ProjectTauEBS/benchmark/EbsSharedLib.cpp} {44,0}-{44,0}]	0.008	0.008	8	0
[SAMPLE] _220so2_int64_mem_accessv [{/mnt/netapp/home2/cheelee/work/repository/git/local/2011ProjectTauEBS/benchmark/EbsSharedLib2.cpp} {31,0}]	0.017	0.017	17	0
[SAMPLE] _220so2_int64_mem_accessv [{/mnt/netapp/home2/cheelee/work/repository/git/local/2011ProjectTauEBS/benchmark/EbsSharedLib2.cpp} {32,0]-{32,0}]	0.081	0.081	81	0
SAMPLE]_220so2_int64_mem_accessv [{/mnt/netapp/home2/cheelee/work/repository/git/local/2011ProjectTauEBS/benchmark/EbsSharedLib2.cpp} {33,0]-{33,0}]	SO 0.013	0.013	13	0
[SAMPLE] _220so_double_mem_accessv [[/mnt/netapp/home2/cheelee/work/repository/git/local/2011ProjectTauEBS/benchmark/EbsSharedLib.cpp) {28,0}]	• D O 0.007	0.007	7	0
[SAMPLE]_220so_double_mem_accessv [[/mnt/netapp/home2/cheelee/work/repository/git/local/2011ProjectTauEBS/benchmark/EbsSharedLib.cpp) {29,0}]	0.077	0.077	77	0
[SAMPLE]_220so_double_mem_accessv [[/mnt/netapp/home2/cheelee/work/repository/git/local/2011ProjectTauEBS/benchmark/EbsSharedLib.cpp) {30,0}-{30,0}]	0.03	0.03	30	0
[SAMPLE] _221so2_double_mem_accessv {{/mnt/netapp/home2/cheelee/work/repository/git/local/2011ProjectTauEBS/benchmark/EbsSharedLib2.cpp} {17,0]-{17,0}}	0.016	0.016	16	0
[SAMPLE] _221so2_double_mem_accessv {{/mnt/netapp/home2/cheelee/work/repository/git/local/2011ProjectTauEBS/benchmark/EbsSharedLib2.cpp} {18,0]-{18,0}}	0.077	0.077	77	0
SAMPLE] _221so2_double_mem_accessv {{/mnt/netapp/home2/cheelee/work/repository/git/local/2011ProjectTauEBS/benchmark/EbsSharedLib2.cpp} {19,0]-{19,0}	0.021	0.021	21	0
[SAMPLE]nanosleep_nocancel {(interp.c} {0,0}-{0,0}]	31.992	31.992	31,992	0
SAMPLE]write_nocancel {{interp.c} {0,0}-{0,0}}	0.001	0.001	1	0
[SAMPLE] do_lookup_x [(dl-lookup_c) {0,0}-[0,0]]	0.001	0.001	1	0
	0.008			
	0.08	- Mean	nrot	tile 🖞
SAMPLEI random [{(null)} {0,0]-(0,0]]	0.421	www.	\mathbf{h}	
└── [SAMPLE] random_r [{(null)} {0,0}-{0,0}]	0.364	0.204	204	0

Examples: Simple Benchmark – Hybrid Profile

□ Mix of sampling and probed-based instrumentation

🔀 TAU: ParaProf: Thread Statistics: n,c,t, 0,0,0 - /mnt/netapp/home2/cheelee	/work/repository/git	/local/2011ProjectTa	auEBS/benchma	rk/Mix _ 🔶 🗙
File Options Windows Help				
Name 🛆	Exclusive TIME	Inclusive TIME	Calls	Child Calls
👷 🔜 int main(int, char **) [{testStack.cpp} {68,1}–{100,1}]	27.546	34.078	1	4
[INTERMEDIATE] int main(int, char **) [{testStack.cpp} {68, 1}-{100, 1}]	0	27.498	27,498	0
SAMPLE] UNRESOLVED ADDR 0x2b32c567aac8	0.049	0.049	49	0
- SAMPLE] UNRESOLVED ADDR 0x41b880	0.02	0.02	20	0
SAMPLE] _Z18s_int64_mem_accessv [{/mnt/netapp/home2/cheelee/work/reposite	0.026	0.026	26	0
— [SAMPLE] _Z18s_int64_mem_accessv [{/mnt/netapp/home2/cheelee/work/repositc	0.082	0.082	82	0
SAMPLE] _218s_int64_mem_accessv [{/mnt/netapp/home2/cheelee/work/reposite	0.012	0.012	12	0
— [SAMPLE] _Z19s_double_mem_accessv [{/mnt/netapp/home2/cheelee/work/reposi	0.012	0.012	12	0
SAMPLE] _Z19s_double_mem_accessv [{/mnt/netapp/home2/cheelee/work/reposition	0.081	0.081	81	0
— [SAMPLE] _Z19s_double_mem_accessv [{/mnt/netapp/home2/cheelee/work/reposi	0.034	0.034	34	0
SAMPLE] _Z19so_int64_mem_accessv [{/mnt/netapp/home2/cheelee/work/reposite	0.019	0.019	19	0
— [SAMPLE] _Z19so_int64_mem_accessv [{/mnt/netapp/home2/cheelee/work/reposit	0.073	0.073	73	0
[SAMPLE] _Z19so_int64_mem_accessv [{/mnt/netapp/home2/cheelee/work/reposite	0.014	0.014	14	0
SAMPLE] _220so2_int64_mem_accessv [{/mnt/netapp/home2/cheelee/work/repos		0.016	16	0
SAMPLE] _Z20so2_int64_mem_accessv [{/mnt/netapp/home2/cheelee/work/reposed	C: main	0.088	88	0
— [SAMPLE] _Z20so2_int64_mem_accessv [{/mnt/netapp/home2/cheelee/work/repos		0.019	19	0
SAMPLE] _Z20so_double_mem_accessv [{/mnt/netapp/home2/cheelee/work/repo	0.01	0.01	10	0
— [SAMPLE] _Z20so_double_mem_accessv [{/mnt/netapp/home2/cheelee/work/repo	0.082	0.082	82	0
SAMPLE] _Z20so_double_mem_accessv [{/mnt/netapp/home2/cheelee/work/repo	0.03	0.03	30	0
— [SAMPLE] _Z2 1so2_double_mem_accessv [{/mnt/netapp/home2/cheelee/work/rep	0.014	0.014	14	0
SAMPLE] _Z2 1so2_double_mem_accessv [{/mnt/netapp/home2/cheelee/work/rep	0.085	0.085	85	0
— [SAMPLE] _Z2 1so2_double_mem_accessv [{/mnt/netapp/home2/cheelee/work/rep	0.029	0.029	29	0
- ESAMPLE]nanosleep_nocancel [{interp.c} {0,0}-{0,0}]	26.086	26.086	26,086	0
- SAMPLE] munmap [{(null)} {0,0}-{0,0}]	0.006	0.006	б	0
- SAMPLE] puts [((null)) {0,0}-(0,0)]	0.001	0.001	1	0
- SAMPLE] rand [{(null)} {0,0}-{0,0}]	0.05	0.05	50	0
- SAMPLE] random [{(null)} {0,0}-{0,0}]	0.318	0.318	318	0
SAMPLE] random_r [{(null)} {0,0}-{0,0}]	0.242	0.242	242	0
	0.009	3.022	1	1
INTERMEDIATE] double a(int) [{testStack.cpp} {30,1}-{44,1}]		0.007	7	0
[SAMPLE] _Z1ai [{/mnt/netapp/home2/cheelee/work/repository/git/local/2011]		$\rightarrow a$ 0.003	3	0
[SAMPLE] _Z1ai [{/mnt/netapp/home2/cheelee/work/repository/git/local/2011]	0.004	0.004	4	0
void main_foo() [{testStack.cpp} {23,1}-{28,1}]		2 0 1 2	1	1
[INTERMEDIATE] void main_foo() [{testStack.cpp} {23,1}-{28,1}]	- C main	$\rightarrow a \rightarrow main$	foo 2,009	0
SAMPLE]nanosleep_nocancel [{interp.c} {0,0}-{0,0}]	C. mam	, a , mam_	2,009	0
void main_bar() [{testStack.cpp} {18,1}-{21,1}]		1 004	1	0
[INTERMEDIATE] void main_bar() [{testStack.cpp} {18, 1}-{21, 1}]	\sim C main	\rightarrow \rightarrow main $+$	foo _ main	bar ⁰
[SAMPLE]nanosleep_nocancel [{interp.c} {0,0}-{0,0}]		$\rightarrow a \rightarrow \text{IIIaIII}$	$100 \rightarrow \text{III}a\text{III}_{}$	
double b(int) [{testStack.cpp} {46, 1}-{60, 1}]	0.009	3.021	1	1
- int main_divByZero() [{testStack.cpp} {10,1}-{16,1}]	0	0	1	0
void main_inlined_computeNest() [{testStack.cpp} {62,1}-{66,1}]	0.488	0.488	1	0

Examples: Simple Benchmark – Loops

□ TAU events not restricted to routines (e.g., blocks, loops, ...)

TAU: ParaProf: Thread Statistics: n,c,t, 0,0,0 - /mnt/netapp/home2/cheelee/work/repository/git/local/2011ProjectTauEBS/benchmark 📃 🐳 🗙				
File Options Windows Help				
Name △ Ext	clusive TIME	Inclusive TIME	Calls	Child Calls
Pr	27.654	38.81	1	4
[INTERMEDIATE] int main(int, char **) [{testStack.cpp} {68,1}-{100,1}]	0	27.579	27,579	0
P double a(int) [{testStack.cpp} {30,1}-{44,1}]	0	7.619	1	3
$ \begin{array}{c} \begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$,3] _{2.708}	2.708	1	0
[INTERMEDIATE] Loop: double a(int) [{testStack.cpp} {32,3}-{36,3}]	0	1.901	1,901	0
[SAMPLE]_Z1ai [{/mnt/netapp/home2/cheelee/work/repository/git/local/2011ProjectTauEB5/benchmark/testStack.inst.cpp} {44,0}	0.429	0.429	429	0
SAMPLE]_Z1ai [{/mnt/netapp/home2/cheelee/work/repository/git/local/2011ProjectTauEBS/benchmark/testStack.inst.cpp} {45,0}	1.472	1.472	1,472	0
Loop: double a(int) [{testStack.cpp} {38,3}-{42,3}]	1.897	1.897	1	0
IINTERMEDIATEJ Loop: double a(int) [{testStack.cpp} {38,3}-{42,3}]	0	1.896	1,896	0
— [SAMPLE]_Z1ai [{/mnt/netapp/home2/cheelee/work/repository/git/local/2011ProjectTauEBS/benchmark/testStack.inst.cpb] {52,0}	0.442	0.442	442	0
[SAMPLE]_Z1ai [{/mnt/netapp/home2/cheelee/work/repository/git/local/2011ProjectTauEBS/benchmark/testStack.inst.cpg {53,0}	1.454	1.454	1,454	0
• void main_foo() [{testStack.cpp} {23,1}-{28,1}]	2.01	3.014	1	1
Corrected Local and Stack.cpp {46,1}-(60,1)]	0.009	3.021	1	1
- int main_divByZero() [{testStack.cpp} {10,1}-{16,1}]		0	1	0
void main_inlined_computeNest() [{testStack.cpp} {62,1}-{66,1}] relative to line number	0.517	0.517	1	0

Examples: NAMD – Runtime System Contexts

□ NAMD is implemented with Charm++ programming model □ Charm++ exposes its programming and runtime constructs

- O Callback system for TAU for probed-based measurement
- □ Hybrid profiling reveals action within Charm++ "idle" state

🚺 TAU: ParaProf: Mean Statistics - /mnt/netapp/home2/cheelee/wo	rk/experiments/EBS_Sampling/	20110726_Namd	lSamp_Logs/Logs_Nam	d_Apoa10144_Sa	mpCallf _ 🕂 🗙
File Options Windows Help					
Name	Exclusive 1	TIME	Inclusive TIME 🗸	Calls	Child Calls
P . TAU application		0	14.789	1	1 🗖
🕈 🗖 Main		1.582	14.789	1	82,840.757 =
	DI CH31 Progress	5.815	5.96	3,279.104	162,801.333
	DI_CII3I_I logiess	5.151	5.151	5,150.722	0
[SAMPLE] MPIDI_CH3I_Progress [{(null)} {0,0}-{0,0}]	ID nom ani noll	2.213	2.213	2,213.319	0
- [SAMPLE] MPID_nem_gni_poll [{(null)} {0,0}-{0,0}]	ID_nem_gni_pon	0.281	0.281	281.069	0
SAMPLE] PMPI_lprobe [{(null)} {0,0}-{0,0}]	NT T 1	0.27	0.27	270.181	0
SAMPLE] GNI_CqGetEvent [{(null)} {0,0}-{0,0}]	'I_lprobe	0.233	0.233	232.771	0
SAMPLE] UNRESOLVED ADDR 0xffffffff60014c		0.208	0.208	208.299	0
SAMPLE] MPIDI_CH3U_Recvq_FU [{(null)} {0,0}-{0,0}]		0.17	0.17	169.562	0
[SAMPLE] MPID_lprobe [{(null)} {0,0}-{0,0}]		0.096	0.096	96.09	0
SAMPLE] MPID_nem_gni_smsg_cm_poll [{(null)} {0,0}-{0,0}]		C 001		91.215	0
[SAMPLE] MPID_nem_gni_datagram_poll [{(null)} {0,0}-{0,0}]		Uning	trumented	87.562	0
[SAMPLE] MPID_Wtime [{(null)} {0,0}-{0,0}]				66.021	0
SAMPLE] UNRESOLVED ADDR 0xffffffff600147		runtin	na routinas	62.688	0
SAMPLE] UNRESOLVED ADDR 0xffffffff600151		Iunun		61.5	0
[SAMPLE] MPI_Wtime [{(null)} {0,0}-{0,0}]		0.061	0.061	60.556	0
SAMPLE] MPID_Wtime_todouble [{(null)} {0,0}-{0,0}]		0.053	0.053	53.097	0
[SAMPLE] PCQueuePop [{/global/homes/c/cheelee/work/repositor	√/git/charm/mpi-ci	0.045	0.045	45.486	0
SAMPLE] UNRESOLVED ADDR 0xffffffff600154		0.037		~	
[SAMPLE] PCQueuePop [{/global/homes/c/cheelee/work/repositor	√/git/charm/mpi-ci	0.035	Ho	nner Cr	av XE6
SAMPLE] UNRESOLVED ADDR 0xffffffff60014a		0.035	110	pper, cr	ay me
[SAMPLE] call_cblist_keep [{/global/homes/c/cheelee/work/repos	itory/git/charm/mpi	0.029	1/1/	1 propos	aora
SAMPLE] PCQueuePop [{/global/homes/c/cheelee/work/repositol	v/git/charm/mpi-ci	0.029	144	+ proces	5015
[SAMPLE] PumpMsgs [{/global/homes/c/cheelee/work/repository,	/git/charm/mpi-cra	0.027	0.027	20.370	× •

Examples: FLASH4 – Event Paths + Samples

TAU: ParaProf: Mean Statistics - /home/cheelee/Logs_Flash4_Cellular0144_SampCallpath50					
File Options Windows Help					
		Enducing Thirds			Children II.
Name △		Exclusive TIME	Inclusive LIME	Calls1	
Cosh [(hash.190)(40,1)-[00,17]] DRIVER EVOLVEFLASH [(Driver, evolveFlash E90) {40,13-[481,33]]		0.066	209 722	1	50 027 889
COSMOLOGY GETREDSHIFT (Cosmology getRedshift, F90) {28, 1)-{36,36}]		0.002	0.002	2.000	0
COSMOLOGY_REDSHIFTHYDRO [{Cosmology_redshiftHydro.F90} {26,1}-{33,38}]		0.003	0.003	4,000	0
COSMOLOGY_SOLVEFRIEDMANNEQN [{Cosmology_solveFriedmannEqn.F90} {27,1}-{35,4	2}]	0.003	0.003	4,000	0
DIFFUSE [{Diffuse.F90} {57,1}-{66,22}]		0.003	0.003	4,000	0
DRIVER_COMPUTEDT [{Driver_computeDt.F90} {57,1}-{561,31}]		0.063	7.288	2,000	137,056.736
DRIVER_GETELAPSEDWCTIME [{Driver_getElapsedWCTime.F90} {32, 1}-{46, 38}]		0.002	0.002	2,000	0
DRIVER_SOURCETERMS [{Driver_sourceTerms.F90} {31,1}-{60,33}]	Follow probed ever	0.023	56.479	4,000	32,000
DR_SHORTENLASTDT [{dr_shortenLastDt.F90} {32,1}-{58,31}]		0.002	0.002	2,000	0
GRAVITY_POTENTIALLIST OFBLOCKS [[Gravity_potentialListOfBlocks.F90] {54,1}-{64,44}]	noth to and and nia	0.005	0.005	4,000	0
CRID_GETLDSTOFBLOCKS [[Grid_getListOfBlocks.F90] {73, 1]-{196,35}]		K 0.002	0.002	2,000	0
CRID_GETEDCAENOMBENS [[GHG_getEdCalNumBiks.F90] {19, 1}-{50, 55}]		0.003	26.146	2,000	5 002 -
HYDRO [{Hvdro F90} {47 1}-{208 20}]	un samples to highlight	5ht 0.014	119 684	4 000	12 000
GRID MOVECUSTOMREGION [(Grid moveCustomRegion E90) {26 1}-(182 36)]	up sumples to mgmg		0.008	4.000	12,000
PHY_PPM_SWEEP [{hv_ppm_sweep.F90} {66,1}-{661,27}]	aionificant as do nai	1.573	119.662	8,000	644,084.333
DIFFUSE_THERM [{Diffuse_therm.F90} {106,1}-{147,28}]	significant code poir	1LS 0.055	0.055	52,339.778	0
DIFFUSE_VISC [{Diffuse_visc.F90} {94,1}-{135,27}]		0.032	0.032	52,339.778	0
EOS_WRAPPED [{Eos_wrapped.F90} {93,1}-{210,26}]		1.274	31.851	157,019.333	1,046,795.5
P EOS [{Eos.F90} {209, 1}-{304, 18}]		1.3	30.577	1,046,795.5	1,046,795.5
EOS_HELMHOLTZ [{eos_helmholtz.F90} {228,1}-{689,28}]		28.42	29.277	1,046,795.5	219,766.472
		0.398	0.398	73,154.306	0
P [INTERMEDIATE] Loop: EOS_HELMHOLTZ [{eos_helmholtz.F90} { 3 14	,3]-{334,7}]	0	0.354	353.896	0
[SAMPLE] RtsLayer.:myi nreadi) [{/global/nomes/c/cheelee/work	c/repository/git/tau2/src/Profile(tau2) src/Profile(tau2) src/Profile(tau2) (1505.0) (1505.0)	0	0	0.042	0
[SAMPLE] Tau_get_tid [{/global/homes/c/cheelee/work/reposite	siten//git/tau2/sic/Profile/TauC PI.cpp} {1506,0}-{1506,0}]	0	0	0.014	0
SAMPLET Tau stor timer [//global/homes/c/cheelee/work/rep	isitory/git/tau2/src/Panfile/TauCAPI.cop3{343_0}-{343_0}]	0	0	0.005	0
[SAMPLE] Tau_stop_timer [[/global/homes/c/cheelee/work/report	$sitory/git/tau2/src/Profile/TauCAPI.cop}{344.0}{344.0}$	0	0	0.042	0
[SAMPLE]multispecies_data_MOD_ms_iszero [{(null)} {0,0}-{0,	0]	0.03	0.03	29.694	0
[SAMPLE] eos_heimholtz_ [{(null)} {0,0}-{0,0}]		0.015	0.015	15.292	0
[SAMPLE] ms_mapmsindex_ [{(null)} {0,0}-{0,0}]		0.021	0.021	20.514	0
[SAMPLE] multispecies_getproperty_ [{(null)} {0,0}-{0,0}]		0.099	0.099	99.292	0
— [SAMPLE] multispecies_getsumfrac_ [{(null)} {0,0}-{0,0}]		0.117	0.117	116.694	0
SAMPLE] multispecies_getsuminv_ [{(null)} {0,0}-{0,0}]		0.072	0.072	71.917	0
SAMPLE] tau_profile_stop_ [{/global/homes/c/cheelee/work/rej	pository/git/tau2/src/Profile/TauFAPI.cpp} {618,0}-{618,0}]	0	0	0.111	0
[SAMPLE] tau_profile_stop_ [{/global/homes/c/cheelee/work/rej [SAMPLE] tau_profile_stop_ [{/global/homes/c/cheelee/work/rej	bository/git/tau2/src/Profile/TauFAPI.cpp} {619,0}-{619,0}]		0	0.062	0
[SAMPLE] (au_profile_stop_ (/global/nomes/c/cheelee/work/rej	oository/git/tau2/src/Pronie/TauFAPI.cpp} {621,0}={621,0}]	H	onner (rav X	F6
► [INTERMEDIATE] EOS [[EOS.F90] {209,1]-(304,18]]					
[INTERMEDIATE] EOS_WRAPPED [{Eos_wrapped.F90} {93,1}-{210,26}]		0	0.895	895.201	0 🗸

Example: FLASH4 – Reverse Event/Call Path

□ Aggregate events / samples performance data

0	TAU: ParaProf: Mean Statistics - flash4-	-cellular-sampRev.hopper.0	144.ppk		
	Name	Exclusive TIME 🗸	Inclusive TIME	Calls	Child Calls
►	HY_PPM_BLOCK [{hy_ppm_block.F90} {114,1}-{844,27}]	3.642	27.069	52,339.778	523,398.778
	AMR_1BLK_GUARDCELL_SRL [{amr_1blk_guardcell_srl.F90} {10,7}-{856,43}]	2.907	2.92	77,447.889	404.75
►	[SAMPLE] amr_1blk_cc_cp_remote_ [{(null)} {0,0}-{0,0}]	2.737	2.737	2,736.556	0
	MPI_Waitall()	2.457	2.457	47,013.688	0
►	[SAMPLE] interp_ [{(null)} {0,0}-{0,0}]	2.426	2.426	2,426.354	0
▼ .	[SAMPLE] memcpy [{/usr/src/packages/BUILD/glibc-2.9/string//sysdeps/x86_64/memcpy.S} {267	2.408	2.408	2,408.014	0
1	[INTERMEDIATE] MPI_Ssend()	1.727	1.727	1,726.59	0
- 1	INTERMEDIATE] MPI_AMR_READ_RESTRICT_COMM [{mpi_amr_store_comm_info.F90} {565,7}-{64	0.229	0.229	228.535	0
1	INTERMEDIATE] MPI_Waitall()	0.172	0.172	172.465	0
- 1	INTERMEDIATE] MPI_AMR_READ_FLUX_COMM [{mpi_amr_store_comm_info.F90} {399,7}-{477,4}	0.13	0.13	130.285	0
1	INTERMEDIATE] MPI_AMR_READ_GUARD_COMM [{mpi_amr_store_comm_info.F90} {88,7}-{162,4	0.093	0.093	93.41	0
)	[INTERMEDIATE] AMR_CHECK_DEREFINE [{mpi_amr_derefine_blocks.F90} {448,7}-{1176,39}]	0.023	0.023	22.562	0
1	[INTERMEDIATE] AMR_SORT_MORTON [{mpi_amr_morton.F90} {481,7}-{631,36}]			9.174	0
- 1	INTERMEDIATE] MPI_Bcast()	I TAU ev	ents within wl	11Ch 4.462	0
1	INTERMEDIATE] MPI_AMR_WRITE_GUARD_COMM [{mpi_amr_store_comm_info.F90} {18,7}-{82,4			4.458	0
- 1	INTERMEDIATE] MPI_AMR_WRITE_RESTRICT_COMM [{mpi_amr_store_comm_info.F90} {485,7}-{5	I this mem	icny sample or	Curs 3.923	0
1	[INTERMEDIATE] MPI_AMR_WRITE_FLUX_COMM [{mpi_amr_store_comm_info.F90} {325,7}-{393,4			3.879	0
1	[INTERMEDIATE] MPI_AMR_WRITE_PROL_COMM [{mpi_amr_store_comm_info.F90} {168,7}-{234,4	0.004	0.004	3.705	0
1	INTERMEDIATE] MPI_Allgather()	0.003	0.003	3.133	0
1	[INTERMEDIATE] MPI_AMR_READ_PROL_COMM [{mpi_amr_store_comm_info.F90} {240,7}-{318,4	0.003	0.003	2.617	0
1	INTERMEDIATE] MPI_Barrier()	0.002	0.002	1.9	0
1	INTERMEDIATE] MPI_Irecv()	0.001	0.001	1	0
1	[INTERMEDIATE] MPI_XCHANGE_BLOCKS [{mpi_lib.F90} {1211,7}-{1381,39}]	0.001	0.001	1	0
	[SAMPLE] multispecies_getsumfrac_ [{(null)} {0,0}-{0,0}]	2.389	2.389	2,389.194	0
►	BN_NETINTEGRATE [{bn_netIntegrate.F90} {86,1}-{294,30}]	2.238	24.789	1,572,443.778	1,572,443.778
	[SAMPLE] rieman_ [{(null)} {0,0}-{0,0}]	2.193	2.193	2,193	0
►	[SAMPLE]pow [{/usr/src/packages/BUILD/glibc-2.9/math//sysdeps/x86_64/fpu/w_pow.c} {765	2.168	2.168	2,167.75	0
	[SAMPLE] multispecies_getproperty_ [{(null)} {0,0}-{0,0}]	2.023	2.023	2,022.896	0
►	EOS_WRAPPED [{Eos_wrapped.F90} {93,1}-{210,26}]	1.891	46.015	215,811.486	1,451,586.944
	[SAMPLE] states_ [{(null)} {0,0}-{0,0}]	1.822	1.822	1,822.16	0
►	EOS [{Eos.F90} {209,1}-{304,18}]	1.795	44.124	1,451,798.5	1,451,798.5
	[SAMPLE] monot_ [{(null)} {0,0}-{0,0}]	1.73	1.73	1,730.194	0
►	AMR_GUARDCELL [{mpi_amr_guardcell.F90} {88,7}-{485,34}]	1.713	23.099	9,006	127,343.806
	[SAMPLE] amr_guardcell_ [{(null)} {0,0}-{0,0}]	1.645	1.645	1,644.826	0
►	HY_PPM_SWEEP [{hy_ppm_sweep.F90} {66,1}-{661,27}]	1.578	119.099	8,000	644,084.333
►	[SAMPLE] hydro_1d_ [{(null)} {0,0}-{0,0}]	1.527	1.527	1,527.403	0
►	[SAMPLE] eigensystem.1622.clone.1 [{interp_char.inst.F90} {0,0}-{0,0}]	1.5	1.5	1,499.903	0
	[SAMPLE] multispecies_getsuminv_ [{(null)} {0,0}-{0,0}]	1.473	1.473	1,473.479	0
►	BURN [{Burn.F90} {51,1}-{256,19}]	1.396	55.819	4,000	1,597,228.667
	MPI PACK PLOCKS (Impi nach blocks EQOL/15 71/281 361)	1 772	1 272	22 22 22	0

Issues – Signal Safety and Dropped Samples

□ Signal safety

- Strictly, signal handlers cannot attempt to acquire lock
- O TAUebs drops a sample if inside TAU operations for safety
- EBS sample handler must avoid memory allocation
 - > currently, use own C++ allocator and minimize calls to malloc
- Signal safety handling will drop samples
 - Keep track of # dropped samples in metadata
- Rules can be loosened to drop sample in a more intelligent way (only if unsafe)

TAU	: ParaProf Manager	_ + X
File Options Help		
Applications	TrialField	Value
🗣 🚍 Standard Applications	OS Release	2.6.27.48-0.12.1_1.0301.5737-cray 🔺
- 🗖 Default App	OS Version	#1 SMP Tue May 3 22:44:02 UTC 2011
- C Default Exp	Starting Timestamp	1311732623159907
Glogs Namd Appa10144 SampCalinath20	TAU Architecture	craycni
- TIME	TAU Config	-arch=craycnl -papi=/opt/cray/perfto
e 🗂 peri s3d (idho:postaresal: (apollo os yoregon edu: 5	TAU Makefile	/global/homes/c/cheelee/work/reposit
 pen_ssu (ubc.postgresql.//apolio.cs.ubregoli.euu.) 	TAU Version	2.20.2-git
	TAU_CALLPATH	on
	TAU_CALLPATH_DEPTH	20
	TAU_COMM_MATRIX	off
	TAU_COMPENSATE	off
	TAU_CUPTI_API	runtime
	TAU_EBS_INCLUSIVE	0 usec
	TAU_EBS_PERIOD	1000
	TAILEDS_SAMPLES_DROPPED_SUSPEND	
	TAU_EBS_SAMPLES_DROPPED_TAU_0	1360
	TAULEBS_SAMPLES_TAKEN_0	14796
	TAU_PROFILE_FORMAT	
		on
	TAU_THROTTLE	UN 100000
	TAU_THROTTLE_NUMCALLS	10
	TAU_THROTTLE_PERCALL	10
	TAU_TRACE	off
	TAU TRACK HEAD	off
	TAULTRACK IO PARAMS	off
	TAU TRACK MEMORY LEAKS	off
	TAU TRACK MESSAGE	off
	TAU TRACK CONALC	-##

TAUebs Future Work

- □ Add callstack unwinding when sampling
 - Incorporate robust module for this
 - Control of unwinding depth with event/routine matching
 - Selective unwinding determined by event context
 - Mix of flat and structured sample blocks per context
- Rational interpretation of code structures for samples relative to non-function TAU contexts (e.g., loops)
- □ Improvements in data management
- Handling symbol resolution for sampled addresses at epoch transitions due to dynamic library loading and unloading
- □ More accurate sample timing
 - Measuring time elapsed between a sample and last probed event
- □ Sampling with other metrics and state (e.g., GPU counters)



Performance Visualization – Motivation

□ Large performance data presents interpretation challenges

- □ Visualization aids in data exploration and pattern analysis
 - 3D visualization can help in identifying relations between events/metrics
- □ Existing tools provide "canned" views
 - TAU provides a few
 2D: bargraph, histogram
 3D: *full profile, correlation*
- Developing new visualizations is a challenge
 - Strategy 1: Create new view for each problem
 - Strategy 2: Use external visualization environment
- □ Provide high-level support to use within existing framework

Extending Visualization Support in Profile Tool



User defines visualization based on performance data model
 Specifies layout based on events, metrics, and metadata
 UI provides control of data binding and visualization

Using Process Topology Metadata

□ Inspired by the CUBE topology display for BG/P

- □ Each point represents a thread of execution (MPI process)
 - Positioned according to the Cartesian (x,y,z,t) coordinates
- □ Color is determined by selected event/metric value
- Topology information can be recorded in TAU metadata
- ParaProf reads metadata to determine topology and create layout
- □ Sweep3D 16K run on BG/L



• Color is exclusive time in the "sweep" function

Topology Control UI

- Layout tab allows customization of the position and visibility of data points
- Performance
 event/metric data
 used to define color
 and position is selected
 in the *Event* tab
- Additional rendering options, such as color scale and point size are available
- □ 4k-core S3D run on BG/P



Alternate Topologies

- □ Certain views may hide deeper inter-process behavior
- □ Spatially dependent performance issues may be revealed by manipulating topology
- □ Sweep3D profile with alternative Cartesian mapping exposes distribution of computational effort
- □ Topology has direct effect on communication
- □ Visualization mapped to hardware topologies can suggest better node/rank mapping
- □ *MPI* AllReduce() values for

Sweep3D highlights waiting distribution

from rank 0 (lower left) to the most distant rank (upper right)

DOE CScADS





Viewing Internal Structure

- Dense topologies can hide internal structure
- Restrict visibility
 by color value to
 expose performance
 patterns



- □ ParaProf visualization UI now allows for range filtering
 - O Mid-level values can be excluded
 - Remaining points are:
 - > high outliers (hotspots)
 - > low outliers (underutilized nodes)

Slicing to Reduce Dimensionality

- Restrict visibility to slices along the spatial axes
- Multiple axis controls allow selection of planes, lines, or an individual point



- □ ParaProf visualization UI provides filtering behavior
 - Averaging the color value for all points in the selected area

Visual Layout Specification

□ Want to allow creation of explicit layouts

- □ Define a specification "language" that allows mathematical expressions to describe features of performance display
 - Equations define X, Y, Z coordinates and color per process
 - Event and metrics are seen as variables
 - *> eventX.val* : value for *X*th specified event and metric
 - > eventX.{min,max,mean} : global aggregate values
 - > atomic Y : Yth atomic event value
 - Intermediate variables can be used in the calculation
 - O Defined global variables (e.g., max rank) are provided
- Specifications are loaded and processed by ParaProf
 O Use the MESP expression parser

Sphere Layout Specification

- Spatially mediated performance behavior may not be represented directly in topology metadata
 - Applications allocate resources with respect to a data-driven model
- □ The position of each point can be defined by custom equations in terms of event/metric, aggregate, atomic event and metadata
- □ Sweep3D profile mapped to a sphere

```
BEGIN_VIZ=Sphere
rootRanks=sqrt(maxRank)
theta=2*pi()/rootRanks*mod(rank,rootRanks)
phi=pi()/rootRanks*(ceil(rank/rootRanks))
x=cos(theta)*sin(phi)*100
y=sin(theta)*sin(phi)*100
z=cos(phi)*100
END_VIZ
```



ParaProf Events Panel

Events / metrics get bound in ParaProf UI
Example:

- event0 is the FLOP count for function foo
- event1 is the time value for function foo
- To set the X coordinate for each process point to the FLOPS for event *foo*: x = event0.val / event1.val
- To set the Y coordinate for each process point to the global average FLOPS for event *foo*:

y = event0.mean / event1.mean

Layout	Events
Width	RATX_I [{getrates_i.pp.f} Exclusive BGP Tim
Height	RATT_I [{getrates_i.pp.f] Exclusive BGP Tim
Depth	GETRATES_I [{getrates_i Exclusive ▼ BGP Tim ▼
Color	RATX_I [{getrates_i.pp.f} Exclusive ▼ BGP Tim ▼
Atomic-0	sage size for broadcast
Atomic-1	Message size for gather
Atomic-2	received from all nodes Max Value ▼
Atomic-3	ge size sent to all nodes Max Value

Adding Dimensionality

- Topologies can involve more than three dimensions (e.g., intranode)
- Mirror actual machine layout to capture communication structure and cores
- Custom layouts allow specification of multiple points from a single process/rank
- □ 4K-core S3D run on BG/P
- Default topology only covers X, Y, Z coordinates
- A custom topology divides each *n*th core into its own block





x=mod(rank,xdim)+16*floor(rank/ 1024) y=mod(floor(rank/xdim),ydim) z=mod(floor(rank/xdim/ydim),zdim) END VIZ

Non-Spatial Relationships

- Positioning of points needs not be with respect to physical or data topology
- Correlation of metrics within the same events or events between processes can indicate relevant performance effects
- Partitioning or clustering of different processes based on selected performance criteria
- □ 3D scatterplot for 10240 core run of GCRM/ZGrd application
- Correlates four selected events, one for each spatial axis plus color



BEGIN_VIZ=ScatterTest restrictDim=1 x=event0.val y=event1.val z=event2.val END_VIZ

DOE CScADS

Visualizaton Next Steps

□ Collect topology data from additional platforms (e.g. Cray)

- Expand UI for more general access to performance data model
- □ Allow independent manipulation of unconnected segments
- □ Improve presentation of data values, ranks, and metrics
- □ Better functionality for automatic higher-dimensional layouts
- □ Add representation of communication channels



Kernel Measuremnt (KTAU) – Motivation

- □ Observe kernel performance and integrated with application performance measurements
- □ Earlier development of KTAU (Kernel TAU)
 - Profiling and tracing measurement of kernel events
 - O Done via source instrumentation of Linux kernel
- □ Need more viable solution going forward
 - No patches or source modification
 - Easy to use and install
- □ Objective
 - O Re-implement original KTAU features
 - Leverage work in the kernel instrumentation community

Approach

Utilizes kernel infastructure for tracing

- tracepoints and kprobes
- □ Simple user application with loadable kernel module
 - O Similar to Unix "time"
- □ Efficient memory mapping between user and kernel space
- □ Minimal instructions required to record performance data
- □ Support for both profiling and tracing





Ménage à Trois: Hybrid Profiling, Kernel Measurement, and Performance Visualization

KTAU and Other Projects

- □ What about Oprofile, LTTNG and SystemTap?
- □ These provide similar data from the kernel
- □ Way in which data is used and displayed is different perhaps
- □ KTAU focus is on application developers
- □ Comparing to LTTNG
 - O Both use tracepoints, kprobes, RCU locking, kernel timestamp
 - O KTAU
 - > only requires kernel headers to build, root to install module
 - > new development with targeted instrumentation
 - > works with TAU to produce profiles and traces

O LTTNG

- > mature with lots of instrumentation points
- requires kernel patches
- very basic user space instrumentation
- > no profile support

KTAU Status and Future

□ Just finished initial prototype

□ Undergoing more robust testing and evaluation

□ Re-engineering of profile/trace merging tools

Investigate interactions with LTTNG
 Some movement towards including LTTNG in kernel
 Develop more efficient mechanism for accessing KTAU data
 Use shared memory regions between kernel and user