

CScADS Workshop on Performance Tools for Petascale Systems Plenary Discussion Notes

> John Mellor-Crummey Department of Computer Science Rice University



- Performance Problems at Scale
- Analysis of Applications at Scale
- Measurement Support
- Multithreaded Code
- Understanding Node Performance
- Heterogeneous Platform Issues
- Support for Dynamic Adaptation
- Data Format Issues
- Miscellaneous Issues
- Workshop Outcomes
- Funding Priorities



- Symptom: block waiting for communication to complete
- Causes
 - serialization
 - trace analysis
 - space time diagrams
 - analysis of partially-ordered execution graphs
 - comparative analysis of costs in context
 - e.g. are there linear relationships between processes?
 - load imbalance
 - computation vs. communication
 - global vs. local
 - lack of communication and computation overlap
 - resource contention
 - runtime system interference



Cost of Sharing Physical Resources

- Network congestion
 - is it a problem (for particular applications)
 - how can we diagnose if it is a problem?
 - what can be observed from a network interface
 - internal to the network itself
 - is adaptive routing needed for performance on these large machines
 - what if we run into performance problems?
 - do we need to provide input into policy choice?
- Collective bandwidth needs of cores sharing memory interface
- File system issues (within and across jobs)
 - access to file metadata
 - sharing of IO nodes
 - sharing of network paths to IO nodes
 - striping of files to IO nodes and disk
 - sharing of disk spindles

Topology Impact on Network Congestion

- Potential problems
 - OS policy for allocation of physical nodes
 - bad mapping of logical to physical topology could bring
 - link congestion
 - path dilation
 - interference from other jobs
- Observability
 - congestion?
 - network counter issues
 - some networks support direct measurement of congestion
 - virtualized counters for access to network by multiple cores
- Diagnosis
 - embedding of logical topology into physical topology
 - information about physical topology
 - information about routes between communication partners
 - identify collective communication among subgraphs in logical topology
 - nearest neighbor communication within logical topology



- Performance Problems at Scale
- Analysis of Applications at Scale
- Measurement Support
- Multithreaded Code
- Understanding Node Performance
- Heterogeneous Platform Issues
- Support for Dynamic Adaptation
- Data Format Issues
- Miscellaneous Issues
- Workshop Outcomes
- Funding Priorities

Parallel Performance Analysis Decision Tree

- Is the job running fast enough; if yes = done
- Is the problem that it is not scaling
- If it is scaling, then explore node performance

Methodologies for Investigating Problems

- Understanding communication performance in context
- Examining variability among peers
- Load imbalance problems and approaches
 - balancing aggregate memory b/w demands among processor
 - on heterogeneous systems adaptive assignment based on efficiency
- Comparative analysis of multiple experiments
 - e.g. understand impact of congestion and dilation on communication performance
 - controlled experimentation, simulation, modeling
- Clustering
- Anomaly detection
 - expectation-driven approach
- Knowing when you are done tuning
 - assessing the potential benefits of alternative implementations
 - modeling and simulation are useful here
 - microbenchmarking might also be useful to assess value of alternatives



- Performance Problems at Scale
- Analysis of Applications at Scale
- Measurement Support
- Multithreaded Code
- Understanding Node Performance
- Heterogeneous Platform Issues
- Support for Dynamic Adaptation
- Data Format Issues
- Miscellaneous Issues
- Workshop Outcomes
- Funding Priorities



PMU Design

- Current counters often have only limited utility
- Desires
 - architectural counters
 - counters that provide information about losses
 - e.g. lost cycles
 - counters for understanding NUMA memory
 - local access vs. remote access counts Commodity processors
- Issue: HPC community lacks ability to influence designs



- libmonitor: a layer to support instrumentation upcalls
 - similar layer being developed by Sandia Livermore (Cranford)
 - Dyninst also has a similar abstraction
 - action item: an opportunity for joint investment in a single implementation
- MPIR interface
 - used by TotalView
 - interface was designed for debuggers
 - ok for 90% dyninst needs
 - malicious for last 10%
 - action item: more fully specify the MPIR interface
 - e.g. 2-3 ways to start the process after attach, which is platform specific
 - issue: MPI2 control interface is different
 - players: LLNL, ANL (Gropp), Wisconsin, OpenMPI group (Graham)
- launchmon
 - job startup and basic process control
 - attach, detach
 - locate application processes
 - uses MPIR interface



Binary Rewriting Approaches

- Dyninst
 - difficulty
 - shared libraries get loaded at different locations makes current binary rewriting by Dyninst problematic
 - long term: much better Dyninst
 - on horizon, in deliverables, but behind other deliverables due to logical dependencies
 - needs better code generator
 - plan for the petascale systems is the longer-term route
 - the static rewriter will use whole function rewrites
- Just-in-time code generators for rewriting
 - Pin, valgrind
- Static rewriters
 - Alto, Fit/Diablo (link-time rewriter with block level support?)



Binary Rewriting Issues

- Platform availability
- Thread safety
- Will JIT methods meet performance needs?
 - valgrind does not scale for threaded programs
- A catalogue and characterization of these tools would be good
- Having the right information available at runtime
 - Sun compiler "-xbinop=prepare?" to support transformation at runtime
 - enough info in object files that post-compiler binary xform tools can use it
 - e.g. Sun's thread analyzer
 - Cray now uses Dwarf3



- Some compilers support hooks and interfaces
- Issues: not publicized
- Control over instrumentation insertion
 - e.g. avoid specific functions
- Action item: query vendor partners about standardizing interfaces
 - Oregon (Malony) to take the lead on outlining a proposal
 - circulate to other players: Pathscale, IBM, PGI
 - existing models
 - Sun has some interfaces for instrumentation
 - xprofile=function?
 - PGI mproc=func



Process Control

- ptrace/utrace
 - ptrace lacks support for multithreaded process control
 - responses from signal interface
 - utrace is a kernel internal interface in Fedora core 7
 - want it exposed to tools
- Action item: explore standardization of a debugging interface
 - who should be involved in the discussions
 - petascale system vendors
 - Etnus
 - broader Linux community?
 - Wisconsin/Maryland to take the lead on defining a wish list
 - circulate to Cray (DeRose), ANL (Beckman), IBM (Klepacki)



- Performance Problems at Scale
- Analysis of Applications at Scale
- Measurement Support
- Multithreaded Code
- Understanding Node Performance
- Heterogeneous Platform Issues
- Support for Dynamic Adaptation
- Data Format Issues
- Miscellaneous Issues
- Workshop Outcomes
- Funding Priorities



Multithreading Flavors

- Hardware threads
- Software threads
- Is there an issue with visible abstractions of h/w thread contexts?

Performance Issues for Multithreaded Code

- Locality
 - thread affinity
 - co-location of threads
 - same socket or not
 - is data sharing cheap, or will it involve SMP coherence traffic
- Causality for waiting
 - why I was waiting for a lock (who was holding it)
- Resource contention (see next slide)

Understanding Local Resource Contention

- Hardware support for monitoring resource contention?
 - multiple cores sharing cache
 - false sharing
 - true sharing
 - thread migration causes data access patterns to flip
 - observability
 - multiple cores sharing the memory subsystem
 - multiple cores sharing the network
 - number of performance counters available
 - too little information for observability as # of cores increase
- Observability: extracting information from PMU's not provided by cores
 - memory interface
 - accelerators will have separate monitoring capabilities
 - counters in NICS, etc
- Issue: processor virtualization
 - what to do with performance counters under virtualization

Issues Monitoring Multithreaded Code

- Runtime-data management when monitoring multithreaded code
 - e.g. maintaining integrity of data structures used by monitoring with locks without impacting performance requires subtle design
- When observing multithreaded code, often want to
 - identify thread so it can be uniquely distinguished
 - nested parallelism: what is my ancestry?



Profiling Multithreaded Code

- Sample sources
 - itimer (delivered on a per-thread basis)
 - can start timers on per thread basis on Linux, not on BSD
 - hardware counters based on SIGIO
 - Perfmon driver posts SIGIO
 - requires virtualization of hardware counters on a per thread basis
- OS requirements
 - need to be able to direct SIGIO to specific threads
 - action item: is this an issue with Cray and Blue Gene OSes?
 - Solaris delivers SIGPROF with ticks in various states
 - executing code is delivered tick representing CPU time
 - blocked thread is delivered tick when thread unblocks that describes (a) how long spent waiting, (b) what it spent its time waiting for
 - action item: investigate how Linux handles timer-based profiling of blocked threads



- Characteristics
 - instrumentation-based vs. asynchronous event-based
 - function level (Julich, Oregon) vs. PC based (Sun, Rice)
 - temporal information (Sun) vs. no temporal information (Rice, Oregon)
 - asynchronous sampling (Rice, Sun) vs. synchronous sampling only (Oregon, Julich)
 - synchronous + asynchronous sampling: Sun, Rice (soon)
- The product of monitoring
 - calling context tree (Rice)
 - temporal vector of events with context (Sun)
 - vector of unique contexts labeled with their costs (Oregon)



- Timing issues are critical
- Want to instrument every single event in the threading runtime

· OpenMP Threading Performance Issues

- Monitoring OpenMP performance
 - see the paper http://www.compunity.org/futures/omp-api.html
- Diagnosing OpenMP inefficiencies
 - identify time waiting in barrier
 - shows imbalance
 - when a region is under-parallelized
 - slave threads in OMP_idle
- Attributing performance with nested parallelism
 - a proposal for managing thread ancestry
 - non-nested parallelism
 - thread identifier 0 to n-1
 - nested parallelism
 - vector of thread ids
 - should vector include inactive regions or not?
 - include them because it is consistent with static information (Wolf)



- Performance Problems at Scale
- Analysis of Applications at Scale
- Measurement Support
- Multithreaded Code
- Understanding Node Performance
- Heterogeneous Platform Issues
- Support for Dynamic Adaptation
- Data Format Issues
- Miscellaneous Issues
- Workshop Outcomes
- Funding Priorities

Decision Tree for Memory Hierarchy

- Historical
 - Intel Itanium PMU design has nice decision tree
 - old Cray vector machines
 - output from flowtrace/flowview
 - text describes each routine
 - read bandwidth, store bandwidth, MFLOP ratings per routine
- What is the CPI?
 - if CPI is bad and b/w is only 10%
 - likely using long latency computational instructions
- Look at counters to see how much data is being moved
 - if it is close to peak b/w, investigate whether it is being used well
 - if it is running at 10%, b/w is not a problem
- Levinthal's work
 - http://www.devx.com/go-parallel
 - bubble events on Itanium
 - microinstruction front end and back end dispatch and graduation rates
 - Itanium and Core-2

-Memory Hierarchy Analysis Methods

- Profiling
 - explore memory bandwidth limits across whole program
 - and per routine
 - instruction issues
- Issues
 - prefetch streams, open pages, associativity
- Problems to look for
 - lots of read/write turnarounds
 - page conflicts
 - bandwidth in and out of each level of memory hierarchy
 - if more data going into cache than going out, severe gather problem
- Expectations for applications
 - how many cache misses do you expect?
 - minimum memory traffic
 - use performance counters to assess match with expectations
- Is it worth improving? 4 quadrants to consider
 - low effort vs. high effort to make changes
 - small improvements vs. large improvements
 - want to work in (low effort, large improvement) quadrant



- Performance Problems at Scale
- Analysis of Applications at Scale
- Measurement Support
- Multithreaded Code
- Understanding Node Performance
- Heterogeneous Platform Issues
- Support for Dynamic Adaptation
- Data Format Issues
- Miscellaneous Issues
- Workshop Outcomes
- Funding Priorities

- Heterogeneous Processor Difficulties

- Usually not a single source view for heterogeneous programming models and tools
- Benefit of computation acceleration offset by data transfer costs
- Hard to assess opportunities for performance improvements
 - role for modeling
- Asynchronous operation complicates measurement and performance assessment
- Hard to map accelerator performance metrics to application source
 - need to interfacing performance tools better with programming environment
- Lack of portability



Issues for Particular Technologies

- FPGA issues
 - HW counters nascent if non-existent for accelerators
 - use timers outside the device
 - next steps: synthesis of counters for monitoring?
 - best approach: co-design for performance
- GPU issues
 - difficulty of programming
- Multithreaded units (Tera model)
 - requires completely different model for performance tuning
 - usual: first scalar performance, then communication
 - MTA:must avoid serial regions
 - rely a lot on traces (based on sampling)
 - need accumulation to make sense of performance
 - traceview shows availability of processors and your utilization
 - navigate from utilization view to CANAL to explore lack of parallelism
 - bprof used the least
- Vectors
 - relatively well understood



- Performance Problems at Scale
- Analysis of Applications at Scale
- Measurement Support
- Multithreaded Code
- Understanding Node Performance
- Heterogeneous Platform Issues
- Support for Dynamic Adaptation
- Data Format Issues
- Miscellaneous Issues
- Workshop Outcomes
- Funding Priorities



Support for Runtime Introspection

- MPI peruse interface (Terry Jones, project lead)
 - conceived as an interface to look inside an MPI implementation to get insight into factors affecting performance
 - e.g. message queue info
 - poorly defined
 - makes assumptions about implementation
 - problem: MPI implementations are widely different
 - implemented by OpenMPI



- Performance Problems at Scale
- Analysis of Applications at Scale
- Measurement Support
- Multithreaded Code
- Understanding Node Performance
- Heterogeneous Platform Issues
- Support for Dynamic Adaptation
- Data Format Issues
- Miscellaneous Issues
- Workshop Outcomes
- Funding Priorities



Data Format Questions

- Opportunities for standardization?
 - human readable formats (e.g. XML)
 - descriptive language for metrics
 - each tool describes measurements using common language
 - e.g. CPU time means something different
 - Paradyn has a description format (MDL for describing how to make measurements)
- Obstacles to standardization?
- Plans for standardization?
 - what players need to be involved?
 - development of shared requirements



- OTF, OTF'
 - relatively high level (tied to semantics of OpenMP and MPI)
 - common event model (program structure & sys structure as well)
 - common read format for OTF and epilog
- Paraver trace format
- Idea: share API that read/write multiple formats



Call Path Profile Data Format

- Differences
 - different types: function level (Julich, Oregon, eventually Rice) vs. PC level (Rice)
 - synchronous (Oregon, Sun, Rice) vs. asynchronous collection (Sun, Rice)
 - no temporal information (CCT)
 - sampled events with context (Sun, Oregon)
 - Sun has temporal trace of contexts; Oregon collapses common contexts into single event and loses temporal knowledge
- Want hooks for extensibility
- Attempt to agree on common schema for the data?
- Interest in human-readable format for tool interchange



- Performance Problems at Scale
- Analysis of Applications at Scale
- Measurement Support
- Multithreaded Code
- Understanding Node Performance
- Heterogeneous Platform Issues
- Support for Dynamic Adaptation
- Data Format Issues
- Miscellaneous Issues
- Workshop Outcomes
- Funding Priorities



- Support for performance measurement
 - An API request for Linux per thread user CPU time
 - API: how much time has this thread accumulated so far
 - getrusage is not fast enough
 - Linux 2.4 and earlier accurate info
 - 2.6 and onward mapping pthreads to kernel threads is problematic
 - pthread vs. tid
 - pthreads might migrate to different kernel threads n x m threading
 - Solaris 9 abandoned n x m threading in favor of 1 to 1
 - DEC pushed n x m threading
- Support for performance tuning
 - OS memory management (e.g. page coloring)
- Security



Compiler/user Interaction

- Explanations for what the compiler was able to accomplish and what it wasn't able to do would be enormously helpful
 - compilers don't externalize data
- Old SGI compilers would ask questions and pragmas could be used to provide answers
- Opportunity: enable users to offer pragmas as tuning guidance
 - e.g. is a vector a permutation



- Performance Problems at Scale
- Analysis of Applications at Scale
- Measurement Support
- Multithreaded Code
- Understanding Node Performance
- Heterogeneous Platform Issues
- Support for Dynamic Adaptation
- Data Format Issues
- Miscellaneous Issues
- Workshop Outcomes
- Funding Priorities



- Exchange of Ideas
 - new challenges
 - data collection and current analysis strategies
 - needs for new analysis strategies
 - barriers to tool adoption
- Identified opportunities for community interaction
 - planning to increase collaboration
- Identified opportunities for better OS support



Opportunities for community interaction

- Understanding executables
 - began dialogue about requirements analysis, refining, and sharing
 - symtab API
 - instruction API
 - OpenAnalysis
 - frame understanding for stack unwinding
 - Sun might provide unwinding code
 - guerilla implementation of precise stack unwinding on x86
 - explore using Intel's Xed
 - long term use of Xed for x86 implementation of instruction API?
 - is closed source acceptable?



Opportunities for community interaction

- Measurement infrastructure
 - identified opportunities for collaboration
 - libmonitor
 - wrapping tool
 - identified need for refining MPIR interface
 - identified need for better process control



Opportunities for community interaction

- Analysis and presentation
 - explore componentizing presentation & visualization modules
 - terminology for types of displays?
- Developed taxonomy of data format approaches
 - who, what, why (capabilities)
 - next steps: interoperability rather than standardization seems in order
 - there are good reasons for differences in data recorded



Feedback for the OS community

- Need a standard interface to performance counters
 - Perfmon2 is our choice
- OS support for performance measurement
 - want Linux API for per thread user CPU time accumulated so far
 - BSD needs support to start itimer on a per thread basis
 - need virtualization of hardware counters on a per thread basis
 - can start timers on per thread basis on Linux, not on BSD
 - hardware counters based on SIGIO (Perfmon driver posts SIGIO)
 - need to be able to direct SIGIO to specific threads
 - for multithreaded code, follow Solaris's lead and deliver SIGPROF with ticks in various states
 - executing code is delivered tick representing CPU time
 - blocked thread is delivered tick when thread unblocks that describes (a) how long spent waiting, (b) what it spent its time waiting for



- Performance Problems at Scale
- Analysis of Applications at Scale
- Measurement Support
- Multithreaded Code
- Understanding Node Performance
- Heterogeneous Platform Issues
- Support for Dynamic Adaptation
- Data Format Issues
- Miscellaneous Issues
- Workshop Outcomes
- Funding Priorities



New techniques

- Anomaly detection
- Mining large performance database archives
- Analysis techniques for new architectures GPUs, multi-core
- Integration of analysis into visualization
- Integration of system level data into application level analysis
- Data reduction for both analysis and visualization



- Support for augmentation & componentization of existing infrastructure
 - rewarding developers whose software is reusable/reused
- Support for standardization
- Support for international collaborations
 - joint programs with other countries?
 - supercomputing infrastructure is a priority in the EU
 - travel and coordination represent a minimum investment which should yield good ROI
 - APART model
- Training support
 - fund engagement with application teams
 - workshops to help application teams learn to use tools productively
 - benefit for tool developers: having users adopt tools provides ROI to DOE



Model for long term maintenance of software?

- Tools built by academic groups: how to support them in the long term?
 - tension in academia: innovation vs. support
 - who owns the tool?
 - awkward if owner is not original developer
 - co-locating innovators with maintainers is essential
 - maintenance programmers will burn out if divorced from innovation
- Examples: measurement support
 - support PAPI group to port and maintain on leadership class machines?
 - pro: the UTK folks are best qualified
 - con: should be vendor's responsibility (LLNL)
 - standard OS interface is important too, e.g. perfmon
- Model for government and industry partnership in funding?
 - government + HPC vendors
 - alternate HPC revitalization message
 - those who buy the machines own the problem



 Coordinate with PERI to propose workshop on processor performance counters?