Semi-Automatic Models of Communication Volume and Frequency for SPMD Applications

#### **Gabriel Marin**

**Oak Ridge National Laboratory** 

**CScADS Workshop 2009** 

# Why Performance Modeling

- Understand application behavior on current systems
- Understand how applications will perform at different scales or on future systems
- Gain insight into performance bottlenecks
- Identify barriers to scalability

## **Performance Modeling Challenges**

- Performance depends on:
  - —architecture specific factors
  - —application characteristics
    - memory access patterns
    - instruction mix and schedule dependencies
    - communication frequency and bandwidth

—input data parameters

• Analyzing performance at scale is expensive

# Approach

Separate contribution of application characteristics

- Measure the application-specific factors

   static analysis
   dynamic analysis
- Construct scalable models
- Explore interactions with hardware

# **Single Node Performance Modeling**



# **How to Extend to Parallel Programs?**

- Performance scales with
  - —input size

-processor count

- MPI traces not suited for scalable modeling

   number and type of MPI events in the trace
   vary with input size and processor count
- Prior work looked at

-identifying patterns in traces

—apply regression on the time spent in communication and computation

# **A Statistical Approach**

- Think of program execution as a series of computation intervals
- Computation intervals bounded by two consecutive communication events
- Collect and aggregate data at interval level
- Model the frequency and cost of intervals as a function of

—input size

-processor count

# **An Early Preliminary Prototype**

- Implemented on top of mpiP
- Modified mpiP to collect data at interval level

—intervals uniquely defined by the stack unwinds of the two delimiting MPI primitives

- For each interval collect

  - —message size and communication cost for the MPI primitive closing the interval
- Aggregate information into histograms

—histograms provide more insight than any single value statistic (e.g. median, mean+stdev)

## **Preliminary Results**

- Collected data for Sweep3D on a Cray XT4 machine
- Solves a 3D cartesian geometry neutron transport problem



iq loop

**MPI** communication

node computation

**MPI** communication

# **Flow Chart of Computation Intervals**

- Nodes correspond to distinct MPI calls
- Edges represent different computation intervals

- labels correspond to execution frequency



## **Data Collection**

- For each interval collect
  - -distribution of message sizes
  - -distribution of communication times
  - -distribution of computation times

-several other scalar values

- Collect data for multiple input sizes and multiple processor counts
- Goal: model the structure and scaling of data histograms as a function of problem size and processor count

#### **3D Histogram Representation**



#### **Distribution of Message Sizes**

Interval Recv\_0x418c9a - Send\_0x418c35



## **Distribution of Communication Times**

Interval Recv\_0x418c9a - Send\_0x418c35



# **Results for SMG2000**

- Parallel semicoarsening multigrid solver
- Modified solver to execute a fixed number of iterations
- Collected data at interval level for different grid sizes and different processor counts

## **Distribution of Message Sizes**

• As a function of grid size



## **Distribution of Communication Times**

• As a function of grid size



## **Distribution of Message Sizes**

As a function of processor count



# **Distribution of Communication Times**

As a function of processor count



# Summary

- This is a work in progress
  - -no end-to-end predictions
  - -preliminary results do not contradict the approach
  - -Sweep3D results show that understanding topology is important
- Not a replacement for tracing and network simulators
- Wants

-StackWalkerAPI and SymtabAPI